## Mass measurement of short-lived halo nuclides

C. Bachelet<sup>1,a</sup>, G. Audi<sup>1</sup>, C. Gaulard<sup>1</sup>, C. Guénaut<sup>1</sup>, F. Herfurth<sup>2</sup>, D. Lunney<sup>1</sup>, M. De Saint Simon<sup>1</sup>, C. Thibault<sup>1</sup>, and the ISOLDE Collaboration<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CSNSM-IN2P3-CNRS, F-91405 Orsay-Campus, France

<sup>2</sup> GSI Planckstraße 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>3</sup> CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Received: 23 November 2004 / Published online: 12 April 2005 –  $\odot$  Società Italiana di Fisica / Springer-Verlag 2005

**Abstract.** A direct mass measurement of the very-short-lived halo nuclide <sup>11</sup>Li  $(T_{1/2} = 8.7 \text{ ms})$  has been performed with the transmission mass spectrometer MISTRAL. The preliminary result for the two-neutron separation energy is  $S_{2n} = 376 \pm 5 \,\text{keV}$ , improving the precision seven times with an increase of 20% compared to the previous value. In order to confirm this value, the mass excess of  $^{11}$ Be has also been measured,  $ME = 20171 \pm 4 \,\text{keV}$ , in good agreement with the previous value.

PACS. 21.10.Dr Binding energies and masses – 21.45.+v Few-body systems

The <sup>11</sup>Li is a two-neutron halo nuclide, consisting of a <sup>9</sup>Li core and two neutrons with a large spatial extension. The nuclide has a radius far beyond the droplet approximation [\[1\]](#page-1-0), and has a very weak binding energy [\[2\]](#page-1-1). It is a Borromean three-body system, since the constituents cannot form bound two-body systems (*i.e.* <sup>10</sup>Li or the dineutron). This particular configuration represents a good test for theory to reproduce the three-body effect and to understand the neutron-neutron interaction. The two-neutron separation energy, derived from the mass, is a critical input parameter to modern three-body models, and gives a better idea of the weight of the s and p-wave groundstate configuration of the two valence neutrons. It also constrains calculations based on the resonance energy of the unbound  $^{10}$ Li.

The MISTRAL experiment (Mass measurements at ISOLDE/CERN with a Transmission RAdiofrequency spectrometer on Line), determines the mass of short-lived nuclides by measuring their cyclotron frequency in a homogeneous magnetic field [\[3\]](#page-1-2). The ISOLDE beam is injected directely in the spectrometer alternately with an offline stable boron reference beam used to measure the magnetic field from its cyclotron frequency. With a resolving power up to  $10^5$ , we can reach a relative mass uncertainty of a few  $10^{-7}$  for a production rate of 1000 ions/s. The accessible half-life is only limited by the time-of-flight of the ions through the beamline. The rapidity of this on-line method allows us to measure nuclides with ms half-lives. <sup>11</sup>Li was provided by a tantalum thin-foil target and surface ionized [\[4\]](#page-1-3) while the Laser Ion Source of the ISOLDE facility was used for Be beams.



Fig. 1. Calibration law for the  $^{11}$ Li run. The spectrometer was calibrated with 3 nuclei provided by ISOLDE target  $(^{9,10}$ Be and  ${}^{9}$ Li), in comparison with  ${}^{10,11}$ B from the MISTRAL reference source. We used five combinations of these nuclides to determine the calibration law. Moreover, <sup>11</sup>Li measurements were added to show the difference.

<span id="page-0-0"></span>In order to transmit the ISOLDE beam and the reference beam with the same magnetic field, the energy of the reference ions is adjusted in proportion. A deviation of the measurement with the mass from the AME  $\left(\frac{m_{\text{MISTRAL}-m_{\text{AME}}}{m_{\text{AME}}}\right)$ , proportional to the relative difference of the beam energies  $\left(\frac{E_{\text{ISOLDE}}-E_{\text{Ref}}}{E}\right)$  $\frac{\text{DE} - E_{\text{Ref}}}{E}$ ) required a calibration law to correct the  $^{11}$ Li measurement (see fig. [1\)](#page-0-0) [\[5\]](#page-1-4).

a e-mail: bachelet@cern.ch

<span id="page-1-14"></span>Table 1. Summary of the different measurements of the  $^{11}$ Li mass.

Reference	Method	$S_{2n}$ (keV)
Thibault <i>et al.</i> $[6]$	Mass Spec.	$170 \pm 80$
Wouters et al. [7]	<b>TOF</b>	$320 \pm 120$
Kobayashi et al. [8]	${}^{11}B(\pi^-,\pi^+){}^{11}Li$	$340 \pm 50$
Young $et$ al. [9]	${}^{14}C(^{11}B, {}^{11}Li)^{14}O$	$295 + 35$
MISTRAL03	Mass Spec.	$376 \pm 5$

<span id="page-1-15"></span>Table 2. Summary of the different measurements of the  $^{11}$ Be mass.

Reference	Method	Mass excess (keV)
Pullen <i>et al.</i> [10]	$^{9}$ Be(t, p) <sup>11</sup> Be	$20175 + 15$
Gooseman <i>et al.</i> [11]	${}^{10}Be(d, p)$ <sup>11</sup> Be	$20174 + 7$
MISTRAL03	Mass Spec.	$20171 + 4$

Table 3. Different calculations of the neutron-neutron rms radii for <sup>11</sup>Li [\[12,](#page-1-11)[13\]](#page-1-12), with respectively  $S_{2n} = 0.29 \text{ MeV}$  and  $S_{2n} = 0.37 \,\text{MeV}$ , as a function of the <sup>10</sup>Li virtual state energy. To compare the experimental value [\[14\]](#page-1-13).

<span id="page-1-16"></span>

With the seven corrected measurements of  ${}^{11}$ Li, we have a preliminary measured value in comparison with the mass of AME95,  $m_{\text{MISTRAL}} - m_{\text{AME95}} = -75 \pm 5 \,\text{keV}$ .

The new measurement of  ${}^{11}\text{Li}$  is seven times more precise than the value of Young et al. [\[9\]](#page-1-8), having the dominant weight in the 1995 mass evaluation. Moreover, we find the mass more bound by 75 keV compared to this value, with the <sup>14</sup>C(<sup>11</sup>B, <sup>11</sup>Li)<sup>14</sup>O reaction (table [1\)](#page-1-14). Though small, this represents a sizable shift in the twoneutron separation of more than 20%. To make us sure of the value found, the mass of the  $11Be$  has been measured and be found nearly equal with the past one. Its precision has been improved by a factor near of two:  $m_{\text{MISTRAL}} - m_{\text{AME95}} = -4 \pm 4 \,\text{keV}$  (table [2\)](#page-1-15).

Yamashita *et al.* [\[12\]](#page-1-11) have developed a zero-range interaction model in which the two-neutron separation energy is an input parameter to calculate the neutron-neutron distance in core- $n-n$  halo nuclei as a function of the resonant energy of the core-n unbound nuclei. This model reproduced well the experimental value of  ${}^{6}$ He and  ${}^{14}$ Be but not the one of <sup>11</sup>Li with the previous  $S_{2n}$ . Calculations have been done with the new preliminary value [\[13\]](#page-1-12) and the results are reported in table [3.](#page-1-16) The results are now in better agreement with the experimental value of Marqués et al. [\[14\]](#page-1-13), and also for the  $50 \,\text{keV}$  <sup>10</sup>Li resonant energy measured by Thoennessen et al. [\[15\]](#page-1-17).

Recent results using nuclear field theory that include core polarization give ground state binding energies for <sup>11</sup>Be and <sup>12</sup>Be within a few percent [\[16\]](#page-1-18). For <sup>11</sup>Li, their result  $(S_{2n} = 360 \,\text{keV}$  [\[17\]](#page-1-19)) was higher than that given by other models. As it turns out, their calculation is in excellent agreement with our higher  $S_{2n}$ .

The MISTRAL measurement program on short-lived halo nuclides will be continued at ISOLDE for the cases of  $12,14$  Be and  $19$ C. An upgrade to the spectrometer is in program to improve the sensitivity in order to match the extremely low production rates of these exotic nuclides [\[18\]](#page-1-20).

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-1-1"></span>1. I. Tanihata et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2676 (1985).
- 2. G. Audi, A. Wapstra, C. Thibault, Nucl. Phys. A 279, 545  $(2003)$
- <span id="page-1-3"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span>3. D. Lunney et al., Phys. Rev. C 64, 054311 (2001).
- 4. J.R.J. Bennett et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B 204, 215 (2003).
- <span id="page-1-4"></span>5. C. Bachelet, PhD Thesis, Université Paris XI (2004).
- <span id="page-1-6"></span><span id="page-1-5"></span>6. C. Thibault et al., Phys. Rev. C 12, 644 (1975).
- 7. J.M. Wouters et al., Z. Phys. A 331, 229 (1988).
- <span id="page-1-7"></span>8. T. Kobayashi et al., KEK Report 91-22 (1991).
- 9. B.M. Young et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 4124 (1993).
- <span id="page-1-9"></span><span id="page-1-8"></span>10. D. Pullen et al., Nucl. Phys. 36, 1 (1962).
- <span id="page-1-11"></span><span id="page-1-10"></span>11. D. Gooseman, R. Kavanagh, Phys. Rev. C 1, 1939 (1970).
- 12. M.T. Yamashita, L. Tomio, T. Frederico, Nucl. Phys. A 735, 40 (2004).
- <span id="page-1-12"></span>13. M.T. Yamashita, L. Tomio, T. Frederico, private communication (2004).
- <span id="page-1-17"></span><span id="page-1-13"></span>14. F.M. Marqués et al., Phys. Rev. C 64, 061301 (2001).
- 15. M. Thoennessen et al., Phys. Rev. C 59, 111 (1999).
- <span id="page-1-19"></span><span id="page-1-18"></span>16. G. Gori et al., Phys. Rev. C 69, 041302 (2004).
- 17. R.A. Broglia et al., Proceedings of the International Nuclear Physics Conference 2001 (American Institute of Physics, 2002) p. 746.
- <span id="page-1-20"></span>18. M. Sewtz et al., to be published in Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B.